

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,585	MA, HAO-YUN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tho D. Ta	2833	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Tho D. Ta</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Wei Te Chung</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>26 October 2004</u>		Time: <u>PM</u>	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 1-12			
Prior art documents discussed: US 5,690,505 and US 4,560,216			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Attorney agreed to the changes indicate in examiner's amendment in order to define over the prior art.</i>			
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
		<hr style="border: 0.5px solid black; margin-bottom: 5px;"/> <u>(Examiner/SPE Signature)</u> <hr style="border: 0.5px solid black; margin-left: 20px;"/> <u>(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</u>	